



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,876	09/29/2003	Shazia Sadiq	13909-115001 / 2003P00187	7312
32864	7590	07/28/2010		
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.				
PO BOX 1022				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				
EXAMINER				
PATR, JUSTIN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3623				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/28/2010		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/671,876		SADIQ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JUSTIN M. PATS		3623	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) JUSTIN M. PATS.

(2) Ryan McCarthy, Reg. No. 50,636.

**Date of Interview:** 21 July 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*generally*

Claims discussed:

*15 and other independents*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Ribiero, otherwise generally*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Justin M Pats/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3623

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant described the invention for Examiner and provided Examiner with information regarding purported key inventive aspects of the claims, including inter and intra conflict constraint resolution. In future interaction, Examiner and Applicant intend to focus on clarifying these purported inventive aspects in the interest of compact prosecution.